1 2 3 4 5	Robert S. Boulter (State Bar No. 153549) rsb@lb-attorneys.com Peter C. Lagarias (State Bar No. 77091) pcl@lb-attorneys.com LAGARIAS & BOULTER L.L.P. 1629 Fifth Avenue San Rafael, California 94901-1828 Telephone: (415) 460-0100 Facsimile: (415) 460-1099	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs CHARLES ROBERTS and KENNETH MCKAY	
7 8	Drew R. Hansen (State Bar No. 218382) dhansen@tocounsel.com	
9	Suzanne Cate Jones (State Bar No. 157496) sjones@tocounsel.com Walter Peña (State Bar No. 247469)	
10	wpena@tocounsel.com THEODORA ORINGHER PC	
11	535 Anton Boulevard, Ninth Floor Costa Mesa, California 92626-7109	
12	Telephone: (714) 549-6200 Facsimile: (714) 549-6201	
13	Attorneys for Defendants, C.R. ENGLAND, INC., OPPORTUNITY LEASING, INC., and	
14   15	HORIZON TRUCK SALES AND LEASING, LLC.	
16	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – OAKLAND DIVISION	
18	TORTIEM DISTRICT OF CAR	
19	CHARLES ROBERTS, an individual, and	Case No. CV 11-02586 CW
20	KENNETH MCKAY, an individual, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated,	Honorable Claudia Wilken
21	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 6.1(a) EXTENDING DEFENDANTS'
22	vs. C.R. ENGLAND, INC., a Utah corporation;	TIME TO RESPOND TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FROM JULY 8, 2011 TO JULY 29, 2011; AND
23	OPPORTUNITY LEASING, INC., a Utah corporation; and HORIZON TRUCK SALES	STIPULATION AND ORDER PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 6.2(b) SETTING
24	AND LEASING, LLC., a Utah Limited Liability Corporation,	CORRESPONDING BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE AND
25	Defendants.	CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE TO SEPTEMBER 20, 2011
26   27		Date Action Filed: May 27, 2011
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$		

 $\frac{847779.1/81034.01001}{STIPULATION\ EXTENDING\ TIME\ TO\ RESPOND\ TO\ FIRST\ AMENDED\ COMPLAINT}$ 

## **RECITALS**

- 1. This stipulation is entered into by and between Plaintiffs CHARLES ROBERTS and KENNETH MCKAY ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants C.R. ENGLAND, INC., OPPORTUNITY LEASING, INC., and HORIZON TRUCK SALES AND LEASING, LLC. ("Defendants").
  - 2. Plaintiffs filed the original Complaint in this action on or about May 27, 2011;
  - 3. Plaintiffs served the original Complaint on Defendants on June 1, 2011;
- 4. Before Defendants were required to respond to the original Complaint, Plaintiffs voluntarily filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") on June 14, 2011;
  - 5. Plaintiffs served the FAC on Defendants on or about June 22, 2011;
- 6. Defendants must currently answer or otherwise respond to the FAC by July 8, 2011;
- 7. Because the current response date is the week of July 4 and defense counsel was only recently retained, Defendants requested an extension of time until July 29, 2011 to answer or otherwise respond to the FAC;
- 8. Plaintiffs agreed to this extension of time for Defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the FAC;
- 9. Should Defendants respond in any fashion other than an answer on July 29, 2011 (e.g. a motion under Rule 12), to accommodate Plaintiffs' lead counsel's summer vacation schedule (7/21/11 to 8/8/11), Plaintiffs have requested an extension until August 26, 2011 to file any opposition to such motion.
- 10. To accommodate Defendants' lead counsel's vacation schedule (8/20/11 to 8/30/11) and in recognition of the fact that Labor Day is September 5, 2011, Defendants' reply shall be filed on or before September 10, 2011.
- 11. Because Defendants' counsel is based in Southern California and in recognition of the fact that Labor Day is September 5, 2011, if agreeable to the Court, the parties respectfully request that any hearing on such motion be held September 20, 2011 at 2:00 p.m. and that the case management conference currently scheduled for September 6, 2011 at 2:00 p.m. be continued to

1 September 20, 2011 at 2:00 p.m. 2 **STIPULATION** 3 In light of the foregoing recitals, the parties hereby stipulate that: 1. Defendants' deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the FAC shall be extended 5 to July 29, 2011; 6 2. This extension of time for Defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the FAC 7 does not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court and is therefore 8 effective pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(a) without any further order from this Court. 9 3. Defendants do not waive any of their legal rights with respect to the FAC by 10 entering into this Stipulation and expressly preserve all arguments that could be made pursuant to 11 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12 or any other law or statute; 12 4. Any motion brought by Defendants pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12 13 or any other law or statute on or before July 29, 2011 shall suspend any obligation Defendants 14 may have to answer the FAC until the motion is resolved;<sup>1</sup> 15 5. Should the Defendants respond to the FAC in any fashion other than an answer 16 (e.g. by a motion under Rule 12), Plaintiffs' opposition shall be filed and served on or before 17 August 26, 2011; 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 In the event a Rule 12 motion is filed challenging the FAC, Plaintiffs agree that Defendants 26 deadline to answer shall be governed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(4) or such other time as the Court may order. 27

28

1	motion under Rule 12), Plaintiffs' opposition is due on or before August 26, 2011;	
2	4. Defendants' reply shall be due on or before September 8, 2011;	
3	5. Any hearing on such motion shall be held September 22, 2011 at 2:00 p.m.	
4	6. The case management conference previously set for September 6, 2011 at 2:00 p.m	
5	is continued to September 22, 2011 at 2:00 p.m.	
6	C)	
7	Dated:_June 29, 2011	
8	United States District Judge	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		